

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/722,373

Applicant(s)

GOGGER, KARL

Examiner

NAVNEET K. AHLUWALIA

Art Unit

2166

All Participants:(1) MICHAEL COX (Regd. No. 47505).(2) NAVNEET K. AHLUWALIA.Date of Interview: 19 February 2008**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 12:00pm**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic



Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Potential 101 rejection

Claims discussed:

claim 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

A call was made to applicant's representative to overcome a potential 101 rejection by an examiner's amendment to allow the case. The approval was given by the attorney Michael Cox (Regd. No. 47505) to make the necessary amendments.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)